

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FII	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/681,784 06/05/2001		Edward Alun Sketch	. 200-1320 DBK	9107	
28395	7590	01/04/2006		· EXAM	MINER
BROOKS K	USHMA	N P.C./FGTL	MEINECKE DIAZ, SUSANNA M		
1000 TOWN CENTER				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
22ND FLOOR SOUTHFIELD, MI 48075-1238				3623	

DATE MAILED: 01/04/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 10/03)

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
OFF: 4 /: 0	09/681,784	SKETCH, EDWARD ALUN	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Susanna M. Diaz	3623	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 Oc	<u>ctober 2005</u> .	•	
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This	action is non-final.		
 Since this application is in condition for allowan closed in accordance with the practice under Ex 	·		
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or			
Application Papers			
 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on 18 October 2005 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the d Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner 	a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected lrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign p a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	have been received. have been received in Application ty documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage	
attachment(s)			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (
) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Dat 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:		

Application/Control Number: 09/681,784 Page 2

Art Unit: 3623

DETAILED ACTION

This final Office action is responsive to Applicant's amendment filed October 18,
 2005.

Claims 1, 15, and 20 have been amended.

Claims 1-20 are pending.

2. The previous objection to the drawings is withdrawn in response to Applicant's submission of a corrected Figure 4, which has been approved.

The previous objections to the specification are withdrawn in response to Applicant's amendments to the specification.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-9, 13, 15-16, 18, and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Saba Software Learning Management System from 1997-2000 aspects of which are evidenced by the following references:
 - Saba Software web page from 6/21/2000: "Saba Learning Network,
 Enterprise Series"; hereafter referred to as Reference A.

Art Unit: 3623

Burriesci, J. "It's All About The Knowledge" Intelligent Enterprise, August 24,
 1999, pages 10 and 12; hereafter referred to as Reference B.

Page 3

- III. "Red Hat To Offer Saba Learning To Meet Global Training Needs" Business
 Wire, July 24, 2000; hereafter referred to as Reference C.
- IV. Khirallah, D.R. "Veterans Agency Turns To Online Training" Information Week, March 27, 2000, page 83; hereafter referred to as Reference D.
- V. Saba Software web page from 6/7/2000: "Saba Competency Content Alliance"; hereafter referred to as Reference E.
- VI. Saba Software web page from 5/10/2000: "Saba Learning Exchange"; hereafter referred to as Reference F.
- VII. Rice, D. "Hyundai Revs Training With Saba" IT Support News, June 2000, pages 18 and 20; hereafter referred to as Reference G.
- VIII. Rice, D. "techies.com Signs On With Saba" IT Support News, August 2000, page 22; hereafter referred to as Reference H.

Regarding claims 1-2, 15, 18, 20, Saba Software teaches a learning management system comprising:

Defining and/ or receiving input regarding an employment function and preferred method of learning. Reference A, lines 8-9 teaches learners have a role (employment function) that they define when targeting knowledge profiles and assessing their knowledge. Reference B, page 10, column 1, line 34 to column 2, line 7 teaches that the content can be in different formats and uses profiling to

tailor lessons to learning styles. Reference C, line 12 teaches that the learner sets up a profile in the system.

- Assessing functional competency based on the employment function via an online self-assessment. Reference A, lines 8-9 teaches learners have a role (employment function) that they define when targeting knowledge profiles and assessing their knowledge. Reference D, column 3, lines 6-8 teaches skills assessment tests online.
- Identifying gap(s) between an assessed functional competency and a predefined competency required for the employment function. Reference A, lines 1-5 and 8 teaches a comprehensive application that measures and closes critical knowledge gaps for individuals and groups by targeting required knowledge for their role. Reference E, lines 4-6 teaches a competency gap analysis that focuses education efforts. Reference D, column 1, lines 43-47 teaches tests and skills analysis to measure knowledge gaps needed for a particular job.

 Reference C, lines 13-14 teaches establishing a user profile, identify gaps, and obtain the appropriate learning.
- Querying a database of available learning solutions to locate a learning solution that (i) is currently a best-in-class learning solution for reducing the at least one competency gap, and (ii) best matches the employee's preferred method of learning. Reference A, lines 21-22. Reference B, page 10, column 1, line 34 to column 2, line 7 teaches that the content can be in different formats and uses profiling to tailor lessons to learning styles.

Art Unit: 3623

• Automatically defining a development plan for the employee including learning solution identified with the query. Reference A, lines 21-22. Reference B, page 10, column 1, line 34 to column 2, line 7 teaches that the content can be in different formats and uses profiling to tailor lessons to learning styles.

• Identifying at least one learning solution that is in accordance with the preferred method of learning. Reference B, page 10, column 1 line 34 to column 2, line 7 teaches content can be in different formats and collaborative profiling tailors lessons to individual learning styles and preferences.

Regarding claims 1, 15, and 20, while Saba Software queries a database of available learning solutions to locate a learning solution that (i) is currently a best-inclass learning solution for reducing the at least one competency gap, and (ii) best matches the employee's preferred method of learning (e.g., finds available training opportunities via a Web-based environment), Saba Software does not expressly teach that the querying is dynamic *per se*. However, Official Notice is taken that it old and well-known in the art of database management to dynamically query databases in order to improve the likelihood of one having access to the most up-to-date information available. Since Saba Software is marketing its service to the public and boasts the ability to "quickly find best-of-breed learning offerings to close knowledge gaps" (Reference A, lines 21-22), the Examiner submits that it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of Applicant's invention to modify Saba Software to *dynamically* query a database of available learning solutions to locate a

learning solution that (i) is currently a best-in-class learning solution for reducing the at least one competency gap, and (ii) best matches the employee's preferred method of learning in order to improve the likelihood of one having access to the most up-to-date information available, thereby increasing customer satisfaction with Saba Software.

As per claim 2, Saba Software does not expressly teach that "the at least one learning solution comprises classroom, software, online and on-the-job training learning activities." Official notice is taken that it is old and well-known in the art at the time of invention that learning solutions may comprise many different methods including: classroom, software, online, and on-the-job training activities. It would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Saba Software to offer multiple learning methods for the advantage of efficiency of training.

Regarding claims 3-7, Saba Software teaches a learning management system comprising:

- Evaluating a learning solution (Reference F, lines 9-10... teaches sharing of impressions about learning solutions and reading reviews from others) and posting these evaluations online for others to review interactively (Reference F, lines 7-10... teaches chatting and sharing ideas online with other learners about different learning offerings) after completion of a learning activity.
- Evaluating best practices for implementing a learning solution and identifying
 implementation roadblocks after completion of a learning activity (Reference F,

Art Unit: 3623

lines 7-10... teaches chatting and sharing ideas online about different learning offerings and read reviews (positive aspects, difficulties, obstacles) of learning solutions).

Regarding claim 16, Saba Software teaches a learning management system comprising:

- A configuration to receive input defining interactive assessment content (Reference F, lines 7-10... teaches sharing of impressions about learning solutions and reading reviews from others).
- A configuration to receive input defining learning solution content (Reference F, lines 1-4... teaches a global network to find learning offerings listed by industry, role, certification, and competency).
- A configuration to receive input defining competency level requirements for the employment function(s) (Reference E, lines 4-6... teaches integrating competency libraries for gap analyses and to focus education efforts).

Regarding claims 8-9, 13, Saba Software teaches a learning management system comprising:

Completing online testing to assess knowledge transfer. Reference C, lines 13-14 teaches all courses and progress will be tracked, and there will be pre-and post-gap analysis assessments.

Art Unit: 3623

- Mining/ searching evaluation data to identify and make learning solution improvements. Reference C, lines 13-14 teaches tracking and performing assessments to plan and deploy future course offerings.
- Assessing functional competency for an employment function other than an employee's current function. Reference H, page 23, column 1, lines 42-49 teaches the ability to compare own skills to other in-demand jobs, see what gaps exist and recommend learning.
- 5. Claims 10, 17, and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Saba Software as applied to claims 1-10, and 13 and in further view of Collegebytes.com (1999)

Regarding claims 10, 17, and 19, Saba Software teaches a learning management system that allows for the assessment of participants and their function, the ability to create a gap analysis, and a set of learning solutions to close the gap identified. Saba's systems comprises the ability to:

• Mine by employment function, competency assessment, competency gap, and evaluation data. Reference A, lines 1-3, 14, 15, and 17 teaches targeting required knowledge profiles for role(s) (employment functions) within a group or an individual, assessing knowledge gap(s), and tracking (searching) results for the group or individual(s).

Saba Software's learning management system does not expressly teach:

Acquiring or selling learning solutions in an online auction format.

Application/Control Number: 09/681,784 Page 9

Art Unit: 3623

Collegebytes.com (1999) teaches a computer-based system that sells textbooks via an online auction format. Collegebytes.com and Saba Software are in the analogous art of providing products and services to students. It would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to combine the teachings of Saba Software and collegebytes.com to create a system and method to sell learning solutions via an online auction format for the advantages of an efficient marketplace.

6. Claims 11-12 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Saba Software as applied to claims 1-10, and 13 and in further view of Tuttle (U.S. Patent 6,591,246)

Regarding claims 11-12 and 14, Saba Software teaches a learning management system that allows for the assessment of participants and their functional competencies, the ability to create a gap analysis, and a set of learning solutions to close functional competency gaps identified.

Saba Software's learning management system does not expressly teach:

- Mining online assessment data to identify preferred functional competencies for new employees.
- Mining/ searching online assessment data to select current employees for employment opportunities.

Art Unit: 3623

 Mining assessment data to select groups of employees for functional competencies necessary to perform a group-orientated task.

Tuttle teaches a computer-based system that creates a database of assessments and analyses of skills of the workforce that can be analyzed to determine capability gaps that can be used in recruiting and training decisions. The system comprises:

- Mining online assessment data to identify preferred functional competencies for new employees (column 1, lines 5-11).
- Mining/ searching online assessment data to select current employees for employment opportunities (column 1, lines 29-32).
- Mining assessment data to select groups of employees for functional competencies necessary to perform a group-orientated task (column 1, lines 43-46).

Saba Software and Tuttle are analogous arts in the field of employee/ workforce capability assessment and improvement. It would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to combine the teachings of Saba and Tuttle to enable a system that could assess the capabilities of their employees and identify individual or groups of employees to be selected for employment opportunities and tasks for the advantage of convenience in a single system.

Application/Control Number: 09/681,784 Page 11

Art Unit: 3623

Conclusion

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Susanna M. Diaz whose telephone number is (571) 272-6733. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 10 am - 6 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tariq Hafiz can be reached on (571) 272-6729. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3623

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Susanna M. Diaz Primary Examiner Art Unit 3623

Page 12

December 28, 2005